

War in Ukraine and dialysis treatment: human suffering and organizational challenges

Volodymyr Novakivskyy¹, Roman Shurduk¹, Inna Grin¹, Taisiia Tkachenko¹, Nataliia Pavlenko¹, Anastasiia Hrynevych¹, Jeffrey L. Hymes², Franklin W. Maddux², and Stefano Stuard²

1: Fresenius Medical Care, Ukraine

2: Fresenius Medical Care, Global Medical Office

Correspondence to: Stefano Stuard; E-mail: Stefano.Stuard@fmc-ag.com

ABSTRACT

In January 2021, there were 9,648 patients in Ukraine on kidney replacement therapy, including 8,717 on extracorporeal therapies and 931 on peritoneal dialysis. On 24 February 2022, foreign troops entered the territory of Ukraine. Before the war, the Fresenius Medical Care dialysis network in Ukraine operated three medical centres. These medical centres provided haemodialysis therapy to 349 end stage kidney disease patients. In addition, Fresenius Medical Care Ukraine delivered medical supplies to almost all regions of Ukraine. Even though Fresenius Medical Care's share of end stage kidney disease patients on dialysis is small, a brief narrative account of the managerial challenges that Fresenius Medical Care Ukraine and the clinical directors of the Fresenius Medical Care centres had to face, as well as the suffering of the dialysis population, is a useful testimony of the burden imposed by war on these frail, high-risk patients dependent on a complex technology such as dialysis. The war in Ukraine is causing immense suffering for the dialysis population of this country and has called for heroic efforts from the dialysis personnel. The experience of a small dialysis network treating a minority of dialysis patients in Ukraine is described. Guaranteeing dialysis treatment has been and remains an enormous challenge in Ukraine and we are confident that the generosity and the courage of Ukrainian dialysis staff and international aid will help to mitigate this tragic suffering.

Keywords: dialysis, organizational challenges, patients, Ukraine, war

INTRODUCTION

During periods of armed conflicts, as observed in other disasters such as earthquakes and hurricanes [1-3], patients receiving kidney replacement therapy (KRT) are one of the most vulnerable populations, due to the complexity and technicality of kidney care [4, 5] and the limitation of dialysis availability. These increase the risk of severe patient complications including death. Literature on best practices for continuing medical care during war is scarce [6] especially for non-communicable diseases [5]. War conflicts in Iraq–Kuwait, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Syria, and Yemen were characterized by damaged general infrastructure, disorganisation, chaos, lack of electricity, fuel, and clean water, shortages of supplies, medications, diagnostic tools, and medical personnel [6, 7]. Blockades of humanitarian aid and attacks on healthcare facilities and medical personnel were also used as military strategy [7, 8]. For unpredictable and prolonged periods KRT patients are suddenly victims of deleterious consequences such as management interruptions, missed dialysis sessions and need for hospitalization [9] and additional burdens, such as unfamiliar surroundings with culture or language barriers, in the case of refugees to neighbouring countries [10].

In 2022 the Renal Disaster Relief Task Force of the European Renal Association (ERA) published a consensus statement aimed at mitigating the operational and medical risks of kidney patients during armed conflicts [11].

On 24 February 2022, foreign troops entered the territory of Ukraine. Since that day, at least 12 million people, equivalent to 27% of the Ukrainian population of 44,1 million, have fled their homes. Entire Ukrainian regions were attacked and all spheres of activity in the country, civilian institutions, schools, maternity hospitals, kindergartens, and hospitals, were heavily damaged or affected. In areas where there were no active hostilities, medicines, bandages, and necessary consumables became unavailable. Under these circumstances, ambulance and life-saving services continued their work.

As of January 1, 2021, there were 11,181 patients in Ukraine on KRT, including 6,017 on haemodialysis, 2700 on haemodiafiltration (HDF), 931 on peritoneal dialysis, and 1533 who have undergone kidney transplants [12]. Before the war, all patients on dialysis therapies were provided with, erythropoietin, iron supplements, and phosphate binders [4]. During the first four months of the war, an unknown number of Ukrainian patients on KRT were able to reach the relative safety of neighbouring European countries. Most of the ~ 9,600 patients who were receiving dialysis before the invasion remain in Ukraine. Thanks to the heroic sacrifice of all healthcare professionals, the efforts of dialysis providers, the World Health Organization, the Ukrainian Ministry of Health (MOH), the nephrology and dialysis units and their clinical staffs continued treating the renal patients with spontaneity and professionalism also during bombing attacks.

Since the start of the war the Ukraine national healthcare system has not interrupted or modified the haemodialysis reimbursement payment rate that also includes laboratory tests and the cost of all intravenous drugs. Even though Fresenius Medical Care (FME) share of KRT patients on dialysis in its NephroCare (NC) clinics is small (3.8% and 2.0% of the whole haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis population in Ukraine, respectively) a brief narrative account of the managerial challenges that the healthcare professionals of the NC clinics had to face, and the suffering of the dialysis population, is a useful testimony of the burden imposed by this war on a frail, high-risk population like patients dependent on a complex technology such as dialysis.

Organizational challenges

Before the war, FME's dialysis network in Ukraine operated three NC medical centres located in Cherkasy, Chernihiv and Kharkiv (Figure 1).

Figure 1:



Figure 1: Geographical location of the three Fresenius Medical Care NephroCare clinics in Cherkasy, Chernihiv, and Kharkiv, and the city of Lviv. In the map are also visualized the Ukraine territories under control of Russian troops and separatists, and under the Russian troop invasion, as of 25 March 2022.

In January 2022, the three medical centres provided dialysis therapy to 349 end stage kidney disease (ESKD) patients: 320 of them by on-line post dilution HDF, 6 by online haemofiltration, 4 by haemodialysis, and 19 by peritoneal dialysis. In addition, FME Ukraine delivered medical supplies to almost all regions of Ukraine.

In accordance with the standard management of the dialysis clinics in the FME network, in the months before the war, FME Ukraine had prepared a significant stock of medical products and medicines to facilitate the continuous operation of their medical centres for 3–4 months. There were also sufficient dialysis consumables at FME warehouses in Ukraine to meet the needs of state clinics that had contracts with the company for the same products.

The NC clinics in Chernihiv and Kharkiv found themselves in an extremely difficult situation because of the heavy fighting in these cities.

FME NC clinic in Kharkiv: At the beginning of the war, the newly built medical centre in Kharkiv, which had started operations only six months previously, was providing 63 patients with haemodialysis therapy. From the first days of the war, all the physicians and several nurses from this centre were blockaded in their homes by the occupying forces and were not able to work in the clinic. Only five nurses were able to reach and work at the dialysis centre to provide continuous treatment to all dialysis patients. At that time EuClID, FME's electronic healthcare system and database [13], and Therapy Monitor (TMon), a monitoring software for the therapy data management system, were actively maintained in the clinic. This allowed the dialysis physicians, based remotely in Cherkasy, to coordinate the nursing team in Kharkiv via daily teleconferences and telemonitoring the clinical and operational performances. Due to the constant shelling of Kharkiv, the staff was forced to reduce dialysis treatment to twice a week. This reduced the activity of the medical centres to four shifts a week to minimize the danger to personnel commuting to work under the risk of gunfire and shelling. Patients who received dialysis, as well as some of the medical staff on the last shift of the day, stayed overnight at the centre. On 17 March 2022 it was decided to evacuate patients to our partner centres in Lviv (Figure 1). A total of 51 patients, with their family members and pets, were

evacuated. The evacuation was done by train, with the employees of the dialysis centre in Kharkiv taking the patients to the railway station themselves (Figure 2). At the time of writing, the patients from the medical centre in Kharkiv were still receiving haemodialysis treatment in Lviv, Cherkasy, and other clinics abroad.

Figure 2



Figure 2. Dialysis patients from the FME clinic in Kharkiv before being evacuated by train to Lviv.

FME NC clinic in Chernihiv: The city of Chernihiv was occupied by Russian troops on the first day of the war. Some staff members of the clinic in Chernihiv were also blocked and thus unable to perform their activities in the clinic. Prior to the war, in January 2022, 119 ESKD patients had been receiving dialysis therapies in the clinic in Chernihiv. Of them 114 were being treated with haemodialysis and five with peritoneal dialysis. In total, the centre lost 19 patients including some who chose to independently leave the area and successfully relocated to other Ukrainian centres, and others who were taken by the Russian army to Belarus. 95 patients continued to be treated in the FME dialysis clinic of Chernihiv.

Because of the life-threatening situation posed by the constant shelling, dialysis treatments were also reduced to twice a week. Many employees and patients lived in the suburbs and the risk of shelling, plus disruption to the city's transportation system, made it impossible for them to get to the medical centre. The only viable solution was to use the NC premises as a permanent residence for patients, some of their family members and the dialysis staff. Thus, more than 70 people - patients, parts of their families and staff- had to live inside our centre for three whole weeks. During this time, the staff of the centre provided them all with food, medicine, and took care of all their other basic needs (Figures 3).

Figure 3



Figure 3: Clinical staff members of FME Chernihiv dialysis centre.

When the shelling intensified, it was decided to equip the basement of the FME building for haemodialysis therapy, and to transfer patients there from the second floor. Our engineers quickly made all the necessary adaptations to relocate seven haemodialysis stations to the basement. On the first floor, the windows and doors were barricaded with concrete boxes to protect patients and employees from the possible effects of explosions.

Thanks to these adaptations, albeit uncertain and risky, dialysis treatment was possible until 13 March 2022 when, following heavy shelling and rocket attacks, the city's water and electricity systems were damaged. Later, our dialysis centre was shelled, and as a result the windows, doors, and facade were heavily damaged (Figure 4).

Figure 4



Figure 4. FME Chernihiv clinic damaged by a rocket attack.

The mobile phone service in the city was severely disrupted. The creation of humanitarian corridors was delayed by continued shooting and rocket attacks. FME management and staff created and maintained a channel of communication with many authorities including the MOH and the Office of the President of Ukraine. As a result, it was possible to evacuate patients and staff from the Chernihiv centre. Thus, on 19 March 2022, more than 130 individuals and their families, as well as FME personnel were evacuated by bus to Kyiv where they spent the night in the railway station. The next morning, they were taken to our haemodialysis centre in Cherkasy.

Among the evacuated patients were not only patients who were being dialyzed in the Chernihiv NC clinic, but also patients from other hospitals who had not received dialysis therapy for more than a week. Despite the long interruption of dialysis treatment, none of the patients developed major intradialytic complications (e.g. dialysis disequilibrium syndrome, intradialytic hypotension). The first dialysis therapy for the new guests at the Cherkasy centre was provided by diffusive technique, low blood flow, and with small surface area dialysers. As soon as the clinical condition of the patients was stabilised, they were treated with on-line post dilution HDF for four hours three times a week as is the standard procedure in FMC NC clinics. Seven patients required urgent hospitalisation. All other patients received a full haemodialysis session in the FME dialysis centre. Three patients needed vascular access revision, and all corrections were performed during the first week after evacuation to Cherkasy. One patient required a central vein catheterisation, and a permanent catheter was placed in that same week. Of the 115 patients being treated in Chernihiv at the start of the war, three died: two from ballistic injuries during attacks and one who was blockaded at home and unable to reach the dialysis centre.

FME NC clinic in Cherkasy: Cherkasy is in a relatively safe region of Ukraine without active hostilities. The clinic is designed to dialyse no more than 242 patients. In the first days following the arrival of the new patients, thanks to the of all members of the clinical staff and the management team of the medical centre, haemodialysis-treatments were provided to 251 patients. Today, the NC centre in Cherkasy is working as a hub for ESKD refugees from different regions of Ukraine, and primarily from Chernihiv. To facilitate the uninterrupted documentation of ongoing treatments, in addition to EuCliD, TMon has been installed in this centre. To protect the centre from potential water and electricity outages, generators sent by FME in Germany have been installed. As all patients in Cherkasy are treated by on-line post dilution HDF, tap water and dialysate water qualities are being evaluated monthly to exclude possible contamination

with toxic chemicals, bacteria and associated endotoxins. A Starlink internet connection has also been installed. Thus, the centre in Cherkasy can once again operate autonomously and effectively.

One of the most difficult operational tasks in Cherkasy was to provide accommodation for all patients, from Chernihiv, Kharkiv, and other centres, and in some cases for patients' family members and pets. The city authorities helped FME, making the entire city hospital available as accommodation for the refugees. FME Ukraine's dialysis centres organised food delivery to all evacuated patients. Together with FME's European and Israeli colleagues, fund-raising was organised to cater for the patients' immediate needs for food, clothing, and personal hygiene items. Thanks to the support of FME colleagues in Germany, humanitarian supplies were delivered including food, clothing, and essential medicines (anti-hypertensives, L-thyroxin, EPO, heparin, antidepressants, surgical dressings). Financial support was provided by the Fresenius Medical Care CARES Fund (FME USA) in the form of two grants for all the staff members in Ukraine.

In May 2022, due to the scale-down and ending of military activity around Chernihiv, we decided to re-open the dialysis centre in Chernihiv. Therefore, 63 patients dialysing at the NC Cherkassy clinic who previously dialysed at NC's Chernihiv clinic, were progressively relocated back to Chernihiv, together with their family members and FME personnel, to re-start their treatments there. Since June, NC's dialysis centres in Cherkasy and Chernihiv have been performing dialysis treatment for their patients without interruptions, while the NC centre in Kharkiv has been closed since February 2022. In September 2022, FME's Cherkasy and Chernihiv clinics provided dialysis therapy to 278 ESKD patients: 258 of them by on-line post dilution HDF and 20 by peritoneal dialysis. In November 2022 the Cherkasy regional oncological center has successfully performed a kidney transplant on a patient in haemodialysis treatment at NC Cherkasy clinic. It was the first kidney transplant executed on patient treated at Fresenius Medical Care clinics in Ukraine since the starting of the war.

Medical Challenges

We agree with Stepanova that the main medical challenge is the inability of the patients and healthcare staff to reach the dialysis centre due to rocket attacks, bombing, and active hostilities on the ground [4]. We also agree with Vanholder et al. that in patients in maintenance dialysis the complications due to impossibility or inadequacy of dialysis, increased risk for vascular or peritoneal access problems, and infections should be prevented [5].

Before the start of the war, we re-trained all patients to strictly adhere to dietary measures avoiding high-potassium food, and decreasing salt, fluid, and protein. We instructed all capable patients with arteriovenous fistulas how to perform emergency self-disconnection from the machine to urgently evacuate from the clinic following staff instructions. Those patients who need assistance in disconnection and evacuation during an emergency were identified.

The most difficult period for the FME NC centres was between March and May 2022. To respond to the increased demand for dialysis treatment in the Cherkasy clinic due to the relocation of additional patients to that centre, the dialysis frequency and dose (evaluated by Kt/V and convective volume/treatment) had to be temporarily reduced. Due to the exposure to wartime stress and its impact on nutritional status, the body composition fluid status and dry body weight of all patients were assessed via whole-body bioimpedance spectroscopy (BCM, FME) as described by Moissl et al. [14] and Machek et al. [15]. Since June we have progressively recovered our dialysis quality standards [16] in accordance with the FME Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) medical continuous quality performance guidelines [16], and in July, August and September both dialysis and medical quality key performance indicators, respectively, were equal, if not superior to those evaluated in January, before the start of the war (Table 1).

Table 1: Haemodialysis and medical quality performance indicators of patients dialysed in Ukrainian FME NC clinics during the war in Ukraine.

Variables	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September
Operating clinics, n	3	3	2	1	1	2	2	2	2
Patients, n	330	353	340	247	249	259	260	256	258
Age, years	55 ± 14								
Female, %	47								
Diabetics, %	20.0	19.8	18.8	23.9	23.7	23.2	22.3	22.3	23.7
Charlison comorbidity index	3.4 ± 1.5	3.3 ± 1.5	3.3 ± 1.5	3.6 ± 1.5	3.6 ± 1.6	3.6 ± 1.6	3.6 ± 1.6	3.6 ± 1.6	3.6 ± 1.5
Arterious-Venous Fistula, %	91.8	91.8	92.9	95.5	94.4	93.4	92.7	93.4	96.8
Dry body weight, Kg	74.7 ± 16.1	74.7 ± 16.2	75.0 ± 15.9	74.3 ± 15.7	75.1 ± 16.1	75.2 ± 16.6	75.2 ± 16.2	75.4 ± 16.6	75.2 ± 16.6
HD Treatments, n	12.2 ± 2.2	10.7 ± 2.7	7.4 ± 4.2	11.6 ± 3.2	11.6 ± 2.8	11.7 ± 2.8	12.1 ± 2.4	12.6 ± 2.3	12.2 ± 2.1
HD Treatment time, min/week	709 ± 102	673 ± 134	376 ± 215	595 ± 83	544 ± 147	686 ± 117	679 ± 142	697 ± 111	709 ± 89
HD Blood volume processed, L/week	225 ± 48	211 ± 58	115 ± 70	192 ± 39	181 ± 55	227 ± 52	224 ± 61	231 ± 51	235 ± 46
HDF Convective volume, L/treatment	26.3 ± 4.4	25.5 ± 4.7	25.6 ± 9.7	22.3 ± 4.3	23.9 ± 5.1	25.6 ± 5.0	26.3 ± 12.3	26.3 ± 4.8	26.0 ± 5.0
spKt/V (OCM)	1.47 ± 0.27	1.41 ± 0.27	1.27 ± 0.35	1.28 ± 0.24	1.43 ± 0.27	1.48 ± 0.29	1.47 ± 0.29	1.49 ± 0.29	1.50 ± 0.28
Relative FO pre, %ECWpr	11.5 ± 11.2	11.5 ± 10.6	14.1 ± 11.6	13.3 ± 13.5	10.2 ± 10.6	10.7 ± 10.6	10.8 ± 10.5	11.4 ± 9.3	11.2 ± 9.0
IDWG, %	3.5 ± 2.1	3.5 ± 1.9	3.8 ± 2.2	3.6 ± 1.8	3.5 ± 1.9	3.4 ± 1.8	3.3 ± 1.9	3.4 ± 1.9	3.5 ± 1.9
Ultrafiltration, ml/treatment	2540 ± 1019	2395 ± 981	2261 ± 1008	2455 ± 951	2450 ± 963	2260 ± 1027	2339 ± 1028	2404 ± 1003	2557 ± 969
Ultrafiltration rate, ml/h/Kg	8.6 ± 3.7	8.3 ± 3.7	8.7 ± 3.7	9.9 ± 4.0	8.9 ± 3.8	7.9 ± 3.8	8.0 ± 3.8	8.2 ± 3.7	8.7 ± 3.7
SyBP assessments, n/patient/month	61	54	37	58	58	59	61	63	61
SyBP pre HD, mmHg	150 ± 19	150 ± 20	153 ± 22	151 ± 20	150 ± 18	148 ± 19	149 ± 20	147 ± 20	150 ± 20
Haemoglobin, g/dL	11.0 ± 1.6	11.1 ± 1.6	10.1 ± 1.5	10.7 ± 1.3	10.7 ± 1.3	10.7 ± 1.2	10.9 ± 1.3	10.8 ± 1.4	11.0 ± 1.3
Albumin, g/dL	4.1 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.4	3.9 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.3
Phosphate, mg/dL	5.2 ± 1.7	5.2 ± 1.5	5.3 ± 1.5	5.8 ± 1.7	5.8 ± 1.6	5.2 ± 1.5	5.3 ± 1.5	5.2 ± 1.5	5.1 ± 1.5
Calcium corrected, mg/dL	9.0 ± 0.8	9.0 ± 0.8	9.0 ± 0.9	8.5 ± 0.9	8.5 ± 0.8	8.7 ± 1.0	8.8 ± 0.7	8.8 ± 0.7	8.8 ± 0.6
Potassium, mEq/L	5.3 ± 0.4	5.4 ± 0.4	5.4 ± 0.2	5.3 ± 0.7	5.3 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 0.7	5.2 ± 0.7	5.1 ± 0.7
Sodium, mEq/L	139.0 ± 0.7	139.1 ± 0.7	138.9 ± 0.5	136.3 ± 2.3	136.6 ± 2.8	136.8 ± 2.5	137.1 ± 2.6	138.1 ± 1.5	136.5 ± 2.6
Bicarbonates, mEq/L	20.7 ± 0.8	20.7 ± 0.7	20.9 ± 0.9	20.8 ± 0.5	20.6 ± 0.5	20.8 ± 0.6	20.8 ± 1.0	21.7 ± 1.9	22.2 ± 2.0

<5%	5-10%	11-15%	16-20%	21-25%	26-30%	31-35%	>35%
Legend: Percent change vs January (reference month)							

Abbreviations: HD, Dialysis; HDF, Haemodiafiltration; OCM, Online Clearance Monitor; ECW, extracellular water; FO, fluid overload; IDWG, interdialytic weight gain; SyBP, systolic blood pressure.

Benchmarking March with January 2022, the dialysis sessions and weekly treatment time fell abruptly from 12.2 to 7.4 and from 709 min to 376 min, respectively. The dialysis dose delivered (spKt/V) fell from 1.47 to 1.27, the weekly blood volume processed went down from 225 L/week to 115 L/week, and in patients on haemodiafiltration the convective volume/treatment decreased from 26.3 to 22.3 L. Notwithstanding these major changes in the delivered treatment, systolic BP, albumin, potassium, sodium, and bicarbonate remained fairly constant throughout. Serum phosphate rose and calcium declined transiently in April-May 2022 to gradually recover thereafter. The relative FO (=FO/extracellular volume) assessed via whole-body bioimpedance spectroscopy increased from 11.5 to 14.1 showing an extracellular volume expansion most probably caused by fluid accumulation due to the weekly dialysis treatment time reduction.

Superimposed risks from Coronavirus Disease 2019: KRT patients are at elevated risk for COVID-19 infection and progression to severe or fatal disease [17]. Due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic crisis in Ukraine and the additional risks of contracting COVID-19 in space-constrained bomb shelters during air raids and packed trains while evacuating, the application of all infection prevention and safety measures was extended and intensified. All patients were requested to wear masks and respect social-distancing measures during the dialysis shifts and whilst in the waiting rooms before and after the dialysis treatment. In January 2022 the percentage of patients who had completed the COVID 19 vaccination cycle was 88% in Cherkasy, 78% in Chernihiv, and 60% in Kharkiv, respectively. In October 2022, among those patients that

had completed the vaccination cycle, 50% of them received the COVID-19 vaccination booster dose. Before the war all clinical staff members completed the vaccination cycle and in October 2022 75% of them received a booster dose. Since March 2022, no COVID-19 positive cases nor Hepatitis B or C seroconversions were identified in NC clinics in Ukraine.

FME Ukraine also encountered many difficulties in delivering PD solutions. Delivery by transport companies was impossible, so some volunteers took on this extremely difficult and risky task. Some patients resided in occupied territories. Our heroic staff courageously delivered their PD solutions at considerable risk to their own lives. Two peritonitis episodes occurred, and both were successfully treated with oral antibiotics.

It is important to note that in the early days of the war, the FME dialysis physicians, together with the State Institution "Institute of Nephrology of the National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine", in Nams, developed recommendations for the provision of dialysis assistance in wartime. These recommendations, written in Ukrainian language, were published on the website of the Institute of Nephrology [18].

FME Ukraine also supported the creation of a community for Doctors-Nephrologists (posted in Viber, a proprietary software that offers a VoIP instant messaging application) across Ukraine to coordinate operations with refugees, giving advice and enabling quick exchange of information regarding possibilities for the provision of treatment and the availability of places in the different regions of Ukraine. An additional Viber community was created by FME dialysis physicians to provide dietary and drug treatment recommendations in case dialysis therapy is not available. In this Viber chat, the moderator provides daily updates and information on the availability of dialysis treatment in regions of Ukraine spared from or less badly affected by the war.

Finally, since the first days of the war, an ad hoc expert group 'FME Ukraine Crisis Team' was created with the constant support and advice of the FME EMEA Headquarters in Germany. It consists of representatives of the FME Regional Crisis Response Team EMEA and core team members of FME Ukraine. This crisis team meets daily to safeguard the humanitarian needs of our medical centres and the users of FME products.

FME Regional Crisis Response Team EMEA representatives is actively collaborating with the Ukrainian MOH, Ukrainian nephrology community, ERA Renal Disaster Relief Task Force, European Kidney Health Alliance, European Kidney Patients' Federation, WHO, and Médecins sans Frontières (Doctors without Borders) to provide help to all Ukrainian kidney patients and their healthcare workers.

Due to the great efforts of all members of the FME team in Ukraine, in the first month of the war all customers were provided with disposables, even in those regions where hard combat was taking place. Also, humanitarian aid delivered by FME Germany was immediately sent to those patients and employees who needed it most urgently.

Humacyte, Inc. (Durham, North Carolina, USA), for humanitarian reasons made investigational bioengineered human acellular vessels (HAVs) available to treat vascular access problems at FME centres. Subsequently the same company generously extended to five Ukrainian hospitals the provision of HAV to treat civilians suffering traumatic vascular injuries. As of October 2022, a total of nine patients received a HAV to repair various types of wartime arterial injuries, such as gunshot wounds, mine blasts, and shrapnel injuries to the upper and lower extremities.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the Ukrainian war has inflicted immense suffering to the Ukrainian population and generated wide-ranging health problems, spanning from women health and perinatal care [19], to oncology [20], and internal medicine and surgery at large, and enormous logistic issues [21]. In this war scenario, the problems for the dialysis population of this country have been and remain a unique challenge and has demanded heroic efforts from the dialysis personnel.

As a lesson learned from previous man-made disasters, before the start of the war FME drew up a 'to-do-list' of general measures to be adopted and aimed to mitigate the impact of conflict on dialysis patients and clinical staff. We agree with ERA recommendations to prevent the lack of electricity and water, shortage of dialysis material, supplies, medications, diagnostic tools, and medical personnel. In case of necessity, the NC clinics are utilized as a permanent location for patients, their family members, and the dialysis staff. The staff of the centre provide all parties with food, medicine, and take care of all their other basic needs. Patients and clinical staff are constantly trained on medical and security issues. Patients are educated about the importance of strict dietary/fluid intake measures. Telemonitoring and telemedicine granted our physicians to remotely coordinate the nursing team and evaluate the health status of patients in renal replacement therapy. We strongly believe in coordination and collaboration with the nephrological community, authorities, and non-governmental organisations with regard to the needs of patients and healthcare staff.

In case of emergency, (e.g. the urgent evacuation of 130 patients from Chernihiv to Cherkasy), as a compromise for a short term, we reduced the number of dialysis sessions, shortening them without observing unfavourable outcomes for patients.

Specific measures to prevent "burnout" of personnel were not adopted, because the healthcare professionals were greatly motivated to help and support their country and their patients

Even though we describe the experience of a small dialysis network treating a minority of dialysis patients in Ukraine, similar or even more painful and demanding narratives in state dialysis centres in Ukraine are to be found via social media and other available communication channels. Ensuring dialysis treatment has been and remains an enormous challenge in Ukraine but we are confident that the generosity and the courage of Ukrainian dialysis staff and international aid will help in mitigating the suffering of this tragedy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the FME Regional Crisis Response Team EMEA members, the core team members and all employees of Fresenius Medical Care Ukraine for their constant support, passion, and empathy. The authors thank those countries that have common borders with Ukraine and all FME Medical Directors in these countries (Poland, Romania, Hungary, Slovakia) for their help in organising dialysis treatment and accommodation for Ukrainian refugees. Finally, the authors thank Helen Cooper and Giovanni Tripepi for their technical support to finalize the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

All authors are Fresenius Medical Care employees. The results presented in this paper have not been published previously in whole or part.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

1. Vanholder R, Gallego D, Sever MS. Wars and kidney patients: a statement by the European Kidney Health Alliance related to the Russian-Ukrainian conflict. *Journal of nephrology*. 2022;35(2):377-80.
2. Bonomini M, Stuard S, Dal Canton A. Dialysis practice and patient outcome in the aftermath of the earthquake at L'Aquila, Italy, April 2009. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2011;26(8):2595-603.
3. Vanholder R, Stuard S, Bonomini M, Sever MS. Renal disaster relief in Europe: the experience at L'Aquila, Italy, in April 2009. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2009;24(10):3251-5.
4. Stepanova N. War in Ukraine: the price of dialysis patients' survival. *Journal of nephrology*. 2022;35(3):717-8.
5. Vanholder R, De Weggheleire A, Ivanov DD, Luyckx V, Slama S, Sekkarie M, et al. Continuing kidney care in conflicts. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2022;18(8):479-80.
6. Sekkarie M, Murad L, Al-Makki A, Al-Saghir F, Rifai O, Isreb M. End-Stage Kidney Disease in Areas of Armed Conflicts: Challenges and Solutions. *Semin Nephrol*. 2020;40(4):354-62.
7. Isreb MA, Rifai AO, Murad LB, Al-Makki A, Al-Saghir F, Sekkarie MA. Care and outcomes of end-stage kidney disease patients in times of armed conflict: recommendations for action. *Clin Nephrol*. 2016;85(5):281-8.
8. Mesic E, Aleckovic-Halilovic M, Tulumovic D, Trnacevic S. Nephrology in Bosnia and Herzegovina: impact of the 1992-95 war. *Clin Kidney J*. 2018;11(6):803-9.
9. Anderson AH, Cohen AJ, Kutner NG, Kopp JB, Kimmel PL, Muntner P. Missed dialysis sessions and hospitalization in hemodialysis patients after Hurricane Katrina. *Kidney Int*. 2009;75(11):1202-8.
10. Van Biesen W, Vanholder R, Vanderhaegen B, Lameire N, Wanner C, Wiecek A, et al. Renal replacement therapy for refugees with end-stage kidney disease: an international survey of the nephrological community. *Kidney Int Suppl* (2011). 2016;6(2):35-41.
11. Sever MS, Vanholder R, Luyckx V, Eckardt KU, Kolesnyk M, Wiecek A, et al. Armed conflicts and kidney patients: A consensus statement from the renal disaster relief task force of the ERA. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2022(0):1-10.
12. Kolesnyk MD, V. Liksunova, L. Stepanova, N. Dudar, I. Bagdasarova, I. Korol, L. Velychko, M. Nepomnyashchy, V. Kozliuk, N. State Institution 'Institute of Nephrology of the National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine': 20 years afterward *Ukr J Nephrol Dial*. 2021;4(72):3-9.
13. Steil H, Amato C, Carioni C, Kirchgessner J, Marcelli D, Mitteregger A, et al. EuCliD--a medical registry. *Methods Inf Med*. 2004;43(1):83-8.
14. Moissl UM, Wabel P, Chamney PW, Bosaeus I, Levin NW, Bosy-Westphal A, et al. Body fluid volume determination via body composition spectroscopy in health and disease. *Physiol Meas*. 2006;27(9):921-33.
15. Machek P, Jirka T, Moissl U, Chamney P, Wabel P. Guided optimization of fluid status in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2010;25(2):538-44.
16. Garbelli M, Ion Titapiccolo J, Bellocchio F, Stuard S, Brancaccio D, Neri L. Prolonged patient survival after implementation of a continuous quality improvement programme empowered by digital transformation in a large dialysis network. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2022;37(3):469-76.
17. Chung EYM, Palmer SC, Natale P, Krishnan A, Cooper TE, Saglimbene VM, et al. Incidence and Outcomes of COVID-19 in People With CKD: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2021;78(6):804-15.
18. Institute of Nephrology of the National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine. Державна установа "Інститут нефрології Національної академії медичних наук України" (inephrology.kiev.ua). (1st December 2022, date last accessed).
19. Mogilevkina I, Khadzhyanova N. War in Ukraine: Challenges for women's and perinatal health. *Sex Reprod Healthc*. 2022;32:100735.
20. War in Ukraine Disrupts Trials, Cancer Care. *Cancer Discov*. 2022;12(5):1178.
21. Fong A, Johnson K. Responding to the war in Ukraine. *CJEM*. 2022;24(5):471-2.